The excellent 19th general meeting of IMA in Kobe is described by the organizers elsewhere in this issue of Elements. Everyone I spoke to agreed it was a thoroughly enjoyable event. The scientific standard of the talks was particularly high, reflecting the emphasis placed by universities and government on mineralogy and materials science in Japan. Heartfelt thanks are due to Takamitsu Yamanaka and his team for an extremely smoothly run meeting and some memorable (sometimes deafening!) social events.

Slightly smaller and more mineralogical than competing conferences, it is the emphasis on ‘international’ that gives IMA meetings their distinctive flavour. IMA has an important role in fostering international collaboration, particularly for the smaller mineralogical societies, and it is always a pleasure to renew old acquaintances. It is, however, disappointing that many members of the larger mineralogical societies do not automatically make IMA meetings their first choice of ‘big’ meeting. They should. I contend that the international character of science – the set of common rules and practices that all scientists share – is of enormous potential benefit to mankind, well worth the effort of some extra travel or the need to concentrate a little harder on slightly less-than-perfect English.

IMA meetings are complex for the officials of IMA. As president, I had to chair two meetings of the IMA Council and two business meetings (at which supporting organizations are represented, in proportion to their size, by between one and five national representatives), before handing the reins over to Takamitsu Yamanaka, my successor as president, for a final council meeting. All this activity has to be orchestrated, and papers provided, by our very hard-working Secretary, Maryse Ohnenstetter. Thanks from all of us, Maryse. In addition, the various commissions and working groups of IMA each hold at least one meeting – thanks too to their chairs and secretaries.

Practical Matters

From this behind-the-scenes activity emerged both formal changes and exciting initiatives for IMA. The Council for 2006–2010, was approved, with some new members (see photo page 318). Missing from the picture is a new communications officer, yet to be appointed, who, together with the president, secretary and treasurer, will be a member of the Executive Committee. New officials were appointed to commissions and working groups. Dogan Paktunc, Katsu Tsukamoto and Sergey Smirnov become chairmen of the Commission on Applied Mineralogy, the Commission on Mineral Growth and Interface Processes and the Working Group on Inclusions in Minerals, respectively. A full list of officials can be found at www.ima-mineralogy.org.

The Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names (CNMMN) has been merged with the Commission on the Classification of Minerals (CCM) to form the new Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (CNMNC). These commissions represent the most widely known activities of IMA. The merger will solve problems encountered in the past at the boundaries of the fields of activity of the former commissions. The CNMNC will operate under the leadership of the hard-working Ernst Burke, who described the activities of CNMMN in Elements 1 (3).

Although far in the future, IMA needs to find a venue for the 2014 general meeting. In view of the locations of recent meetings and the 2010 meeting in Budapest, it would be appropriate to meet in North America, and we hope that proposals will come forward. Business meetings take place every two years, and it was decided to hold business and council meetings at the time of the 2008 Goldschmidt Conference in Vancouver, Canada. Council will meet during the combined societies ‘Frontiers in Mineralogy’ meeting in Cambridge, England, in 2007.

We hope that the problems of collecting membership dues [Elements 2 (1)] have been solved. The formula for calculating subscriptions leads to per capita payments that are smallest for the largest societies. Rather than increasing contributions paid by the larger societies, the subscriptions of our fifteen smallest societies, each with less than 25 members, will be reduced from 60 to 30 US$. The decrease in income will be compensated by an improvement in our annual investment income. The problem of the costs of international bank transfers has been solved by Bob Downs’ discovery of a bank that will not charge for accepting cheques in foreign currencies. We can further help societies by accepting payment up to four years in advance at business meetings.

Strategic Initiatives

Several initiatives will be developed in the months to come:

• An annual IMA Medal for Excellence has been founded. A Medals Committee will be formed, chaired by Joel Grice. Candidates can be nominated by national societies and by individuals.

• IMA will become the home of the comprehensive Internet mineral database, being built by the RRUFF project, which is led by Bob Downs and George Rossman, with support from Michael Scott, the first president of Apple Computers, who himself is a keen mineral collector. The database will contain X-ray diffraction data, Raman and infrared spectra and microprobe data and analyses. It has spectacular opportunities to be linked to new, miniaturized spectrometers for mineral identification in the field.

• Many councilors feel that some of the commissions and working groups are not fulfilling their role adequately. Suggestions include forming a nucleus of experts in each field to lead developments, making more use of the Internet, and ensuring that chairmen serve no more than four years.

• The presidency of IMA will, in the future, be decoupled from chairmanship of the general meeting, a connection that has developed through custom rather than statute. A democratic system and a shorter term of office for the president would ensure that an increasing number of leaders in the field of mineralogy would become aware of the workings of IMA and contribute fresh ideas.

I will end by wishing my successor, Takamitsu Yamanaka, every success in the next four years.

Ian Parsons
President of IMA, 2002–2006
Mineral science has expanded widely, not only in geosciences but also in planetary science, bioscience, and materials sciences. Mineral scientists contribute strongly in interdisciplinary fields. Consequently we decided that the catch phrase of the conference would be “Expansion to Nano, Bio and Planetary Worlds.” After considering many significant suggestions and comments from our international program committee and from IMA commissions and working groups, the local program committee prepared a timetable of 37 sessions. We express our gratitude to the Science Council of Japan for their cooperation and large financial contribution. We also extend our appreciation to Kobe City and to many companies for their financial donations or support. Many thanks are due to Dr. K. Korokawa, president of SCJ, and to Mr. T. Yada, mayor of Kobe, for their welcoming speeches during the opening ceremony. We greatly appreciated the message from Mr. S. Koizumi, prime minister of Japan.

Kobe City is one of the most beautiful port cities in Japan. Unfortunately, eleven years ago, an enormous tragedy struck Kobe. More than 6000 lives were lost during a big earthquake. The city was completely rebuilt. Six hundred delegates attended the receptions and banquets, maintaining old friendships and making new ones, and discussing recent and future progress in science.

Session topics covered all of mineralogy, with experimental and theoretical work at the very high pressures of the deep Earth well represented, as one would expect in Japan. Crystal and glass structure and properties, of both natural and synthetic materials, and modern applications of spectroscopy, synchrotron radiation and neutron science figured strongly, together with crystal growth and texture formation; the big word ‘nano’ appeared in two contexts. Petrological sessions had a distinctly active margin emphasis: sea-floor hydrothermal systems; metal deposits in magmatic arcs; extreme P-T metamorphism; subduction factory; ocean crust and mantle. Fluid– and bio–mineral interactions, environmental mineralogy, clays and zeolites were all covered, as was the role of minerals in the emergence of life. Solar system evolution, lunar and martian rocks and several up-to-the minute accounts of interplanetary dust returned by the Stardust mission from the comet Wild-2 contributed to strong sessions on matter extraterrestrial. The very distinctively mineralogical topics of new minerals and mineral classification, and of museums, were well supported. IMA Kobe more than lived up to the reputation of these meetings as the flagship international conference of the mineralogical world.

Kobe is a dramatic place, and the one-hour drive through the extraordinary close-packed industrial landscape from Osaka airport, with steep, densely forested hills rising immediately behind the coastal lowlands, leaves an indelible impression. As little driver-less trains shuttle faultlessly about, it is hard to believe that such a complex, high-tech urban area could have been devastated by a great earthquake so recently. Only a strangely deformed block pavement, preserved near the conference centre, provided a reminder of the displacements and mighty forces involved.

Japanese society is renowned not just for its energy and efficiency but also for its calm and devotion to good manners. All these were very visible at the meeting. But when they let go, our Japanese friends clearly like brilliant colours, violent movement, and a great deal of noise. We were treated to dragon dancers, lion dancers and ear-splitting drumming as well as more restrained, and very beautiful, Japanese traditional music. Kobe more than fulfilled the ‘international’ in IMA and it was good to see mineralogists from 50 countries so obviously enjoying themselves. I’m already looking forward to Budapest in 2010.

Ian Parsons

Takamitsu Yamanaka
President of IMA 2006–2010

Impressions from the out-going President

From the standpoint of a participant, without the considerable responsibilities of actually running the meeting, Kobe 2006 was thoroughly enjoyable. Takamitsu and his team did a magnificent job, and the organization was relaxed and faultless. The scientific programme was intense, based on 37 sessions with up to 7 oral sessions running simultaneously. The organizers had assembled a galaxy of international plenary lecturers (Catherine McCammon, Bayreuth; Christoph Heinrich, ETH Zurich; Eiji Ito, Okayama; Jillian Banfield, Berkeley; Lindsay Keller, NASA Houston; Lukas Baumgartner, Lausanne; Yoshiyuki Tatsumi, JAMSTEC Yokosuka; Michael Carpenter, Cambridge; Sumio Iijima, Meijo) whose excellent early afternoon talks were very well attended. The overall scientific standard of the oral presentations was extremely high, reflecting, I think, the quality of the science done in Japan and the resources that its government puts into our field of science.

The organizers had assem-