

## PRINCIPAL EDITORS

DAVID J. VAUGHAN, The University of Manchester, UK (david.vaughan@manchester.ac.uk)

HARRY Y. (Hap) McSWEEN, University of Tennessee, USA (mcsween@utk.edu)

JAMES I. DREVER, University of Wyoming, USA (drever@uwyo.edu)

GEORGES CALAS, IMPMC, France (Georges.Calas@impmc.jussieu.fr)

## ADVISORY BOARD 2011

JOHN BRODHOLT, University College London, UK  
NORBERT CLAUER, CNRS/Uds, Université de Strasbourg, France

WILL P. GATES, SmecTech Research Consulting, Australia

GEORGE E. HARLOW, American Museum of Natural History, USA

JANUSZ JANECZEK, University of Silesia, Poland  
HANS KEPPLER, Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Germany

DAVID R. LENTZ, University of New Brunswick, Canada

ANHUI LU, Peking University, China

ROBERT W. LUTH, University of Alberta, Canada

DAVID W. MOGK, Montana State University, USA

TAKASHI MURAKAMI, University of Tokyo, Japan

ROBERTA OBERTI, CNR Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Pavia, Italy

TERRY PLANK, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, USA

XAVIER QUEROL, Spanish Research Council, Spain

MAURO ROSI, University of Pisa, Italy

BARBARA SHERWOOD LOLLAR, University of Toronto, Canada

TORSTEN VENNEMANN, Université de Lausanne, Switzerland

OLIVIER VIDAL, Université J. Fourier, France

MEENAKSHI WADHWA, Arizona State University, USA

BERNARD WOOD, University of Oxford, UK

JON WOODHEAD, University of Melbourne, Australia

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

CARLOS AYORA IBÁÑEZ, Sociedad Española de Mineralogía

LIANE G. BENNING, European Association of Geochemistry

THOMAS D. BULLEN, International Association of Geochemistry

PETER C. BURNS, Mineralogical Association of Canada

GIUSEPPE CRUCIANI, Società Italiana di Mineralogia e Petrologia

BARBARA L. DUTROW, Mineralogical Society of America, Chair

W. CRAWFORD ELLIOTT, The Clay Minerals Society

MONICA M. GRADY, The Meteoritical Society

BERNARD GROBÉTY, Swiss Society of Mineralogy and Petrology

GUY LIBOUREL, Société Française de Minéralogie et de Cristallographie

MAREK MICHALIK, Mineralogical Society of Poland

CLIFFORD R. STANLEY, Association of Applied Geochemists

NEIL C. STURCHIO, Geochemical Society

PETER TRELOAR, Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland


FRIEDHELM VON BLANCKENBURG, Deutsche Mineralogische Gesellschaft

MICHAEL WIEDENBECK, International Association of Geoanalysts

## MANAGING EDITOR

PIERRETTE TREMBLAY, tremblpi@ete.inrs.ca

## EDITORIAL OFFICE

Université du Québec <b>Institut national de la recherche scientifique</b> Eau, Terre et Environnement	
 Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada	Ressources naturelles Canada Commission géologique du Canada
PARTNERS OF THE QUÉBEC GEOSCIENCE CENTRE	

490, rue de la Couronne  
Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9, Canada  
Tél.: 418-654-2606 Fax: 418-653-0777

Layout: POULIOT GUAY GRAPHISTES

Copy editor: THOMAS CLARK

Proofreaders: THOMAS CLARK  
and DOLORES DURANT

Printer: ALLEN PRESS

The publishers assume no responsibility for any statement of fact or opinion expressed in the published material. The appearance of advertising in this magazine does not constitute endorsement or approval of the quality or value of the products or of claims made for them.

[www.elementsmagazine.org](http://www.elementsmagazine.org)

## TO BE EXPLORERS



Hap McSween

I'm old enough to have watched as the bodies in our Solar System were literally transformed from fuzzy telescopic objects into crisply imaged worlds shaped by more-or-less familiar geologic processes. This wholesale shift of a substantial quantity of scientific real estate, from astronomy to geology, has significantly expanded the reach of our discipline. It has been accomplished not only through remote sensing by orbiting and landed spacecraft but also by laboratory investigations of the elements, isotopes, and minerals that comprise extraterrestrial samples. These materials—meteorites and the presolar grains they contain, as well as returned samples from several bodies—add a critical dimension to planetary exploration, but they also allow glimpses of events and processes that occurred even before the planets formed. The cosmochemistry part of this unfolding story is the subject of this issue of *Elements*.

Nowadays, my students take the geologic transmutation of the planets for granted, although they still marvel that thin sections of lunar lavas look like terrestrial basalts and that mapping strategies they learn at geology field camp also work for Mars rovers. But I'm still awed by the realization that geoscientists are now doing science in locales that used to be the stuff of science fiction.

Discoveries about the abundances and fractionations of elements and isotopes that comprise extraterrestrial materials may not have quite the same allure as spacecraft missions, but they can be equally important. For example, cosmochemistry reveals unexpected details about the fusion of elements in stars, defines the age of our Solar System to four significant figures, and constrains the compositions of planetesimals that accreted to form the Earth. A bonus, for us, is that these kinds of discoveries require the tools and expertise of geochemists, mineralogists, and petrologists.

The geologic exploration of our cosmic neighborhood also pays dividends in understanding our own planet. The Earth's geologic evolution is but one grand experiment, run with one bulk composition under a particular set of physical and



Artist's conception of a Mars Exploration Rover on the Red Planet, courtesy of Cornell University

chemical conditions. Planetary comparisons allow us to assess the generality of our hypotheses. As expressed so well by the poet T. S. Eliot (*Four Quartets*):

*We shall not cease from exploration  
And the end of all our exploring  
Will be to arrive where we started  
And know the place for the first time.*

Geology would do well to take more advantage of the widespread interest that planetary exploration engenders. Consider this one example: A website describing the daily geologic activities on Mars of the Spirit and Opportunity rovers (<http://marsrover.nasa.gov/home>) got nine billion (!) hits in its first three months of operation. Who do

you think was logging in so often? It was our children. And why is that important? The visibility of planetary exploration attracts students into technical pursuits, even though job opportunities in space science *per se* are limited. Geology students might decide appropriately to spend their careers on environmental and resources issues, but the exploration of other worlds may have captured their attention in the first place. Planetary exploration is expensive, to be sure, but I believe that it is a

sound investment. The scientific discoveries it spawns are significant, and its technological spinoffs offer great economic benefits. But its lasting impact is really in motivating our children—they, after all, must become the next generation of scientists and engineers.

**Hap McSween\***  
University of Tennessee  
mcsween@utk.edu

\* Hap McSween was the principal editor in charge of this issue.