

2016

May 16–18 7th Geochemistry Symposium with International Participation, Antalya, Turkey. Web page: jeokimya.ankara.edu.tr/en/

May 16–19 EPMA-2016, Madison, WI USA. Webpage: www.microbeamanalysis.org/topical-conferences/topical-conferences/epma-2016-1/epma-2016

May 17–19 8th International Conference on Mineralogy and Museums, Changsha, China. Web page: www.mm8-2016.cn/en/

May 22–25 10th South American Symposium on Isotope Geology (SSAGI), Puerto Vallarta, Mexico. Web page: www.ssagi10.geofisica.unam.mx/

May 22–25 Japan Geosciences Union (JpGU) 2016 Meeting, Makuhari Messe, Japan. Web page: www.jpгу.org/meeting_e2016

May 24–26 EBSD-2016, Tuscaloosa, AL USA. Webpage: www.microbeamanalysis.org/topical-conferences/ebsd-2016/welcome

May 24–26 Pan-American Current Research on Fluid Inclusions Conference (PACROFI XIII), Columbia, MO, USA. Web Page: muconf.missouri.edu/Pacrofi/

May 29–June 24 Vatican Observatory 2016 Summer School in Astrophysics: Water in the Solar System and Beyond, The Vatican. Web page: www.vaticanobservatory.va/content/specolavaticana/en/summer-schools--voss-2016.html

May 30–June 3 Geomicrobiology Workshop: "Building a Habitable Earth," Tuebingen, Germany. Web page: www.geo.uni-tuebingen.de/arbeitsgruppen/angewandte-geowissenschaften/forschungsbereich/geomikrobiologie/workgroup/geomicrobiology-workshop-building-a-habitable-earth-may-30-june-3-2016.html

June 1–3 Geological Association of Canada–Mineralogical Association of Canada (GAC–MAC) Meeting, Whitehorse, Yukon, CA, USA. Web page: whitehorse2016.ca/

June 5–8 53rd Annual Meeting of the Clay Minerals Society, Atlanta, GA, USA. Web page: conferences.illinois.edu/CMS2016/index.html

June 6–10 Inter/Micro: 68th Annual Applied Microscopy Conference, Chicago, IL, USA. E-mail: intermicro@mcri.org. Web page: www.mcri.org

June 12–17 DUST2016: 2nd International Conference on Atmospheric Particles, Castellana Marina, Italy. Web page: www.dust2016.org

June 19–22 AAPG 2016 Annual Convention & Exhibition, Alberta, Canada. Web page: www.aapg.org/events/conferences/ace

June 19–24 18th International Zeolite Conference (IZC 2016), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Web page: www.izc18.com/

June 26–July 1 Goldschmidt 2016, Yokohama, Japan. Web page: goldschmidt.info/2016/

July 4–8 MECC2016: 8th Mid-European Clay Conference, Košice, SK. Web page: www.mecc2016.sav.sk/

July 4–8 SEGH2016: 32nd International Conference on Environmental Geochemistry and Health, Brussels, Belgium. Web page: segh-brussels.sciencesconf.org

July 11–15 4th International Workshop on Highly Siderophile Element Geochemistry, Durham, UK. Web page: community.dur.ac.uk/hse.ws/

July 15–16 2nd Eugene E. Foord Pegmatite Symposium, Golden, CO, USA. E-mail: Mark Jacobson, markivan-jacobson@gmail.com, or Pete Modreski, pmodreski@usgs.gov

July 18–22 Second Annual Earth Educators' Rendezvous, Madison, WI, USA. E-mail: serc.carleton.edu/earth_rendezvous/2016/index.html

July 22–26 American Crystallographic Association Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, USA. Web page: www.amerocrystalassn.org/content/pages/main-annual-meetings

July 24 – 27 Astrobiology Graduate Conference (AbGradCon), Boulder CO, USA. Web page: abgradcon.org

July 24–28 Microscopy & Microanalysis 2016, Columbus, OH, USA. Web page: www.microprobe.org

August 7–12 Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society, Berlin, Germany. Web page: www.meteoriticalsociety.org

August 18–20 Seventh International Dyke Conference (IDC7),

Beijing, China. Web page: idc7.csp.escience.cn/dct/page/1

August 21–25 252nd ACS National Meeting & Exposition, Philadelphia, PA, USA. Web page: www.acs.org

August 21–25 International Congress on Ceramics–ICC6, Dresden, Germany. Web page: www.icc-6.com/

August 27–September 4 35th International Geological Congress, Capetown, South Africa. Web page: www.35igc.org

August 28–September 1 30th European Crystallography Meeting, ECM-30, Basel, Switzerland. Web page: ecm30.ecanews.org/ecm2016/home.html

August 28–September 2 16th European Microscopy Congress – EMC2016, Lyon, France. Web page: emc2016.fr/en

September 5–9 6th International Workshop on Layered Materials, Kutná Hora, CZ. Web page: www.jh-inst.cas.cz/workshop2016

The meetings convened by the societies participating in *Elements* are highlighted in yellow. This meetings calendar was compiled by Andrea Koziol (more meetings are listed on the calendar she maintains at homepages.undayton.edu/~akoziol1/meetings.html). To get meeting information listed, please contact her at akoziol1@undayton.edu

Cont'd from page 148

HOW REVIEWERS CAN IMPROVE THE JOURNAL PUBLICATION PROCESS

- Complete the review promptly, ideally within the journal's requested timeframe.
- If a review is delayed, that's ok – it happens to everyone. Just let the journal know.
- Remember that the purpose of reviews is to give scientific guidance to the author as well as the editor. Everyone benefits from constructive reviews, and so it may be helpful to think of these people as friends asking for honest advice.
- A good review includes both positive and negative constructive comments on the scientific content (background, methods, results, discussion, figures and tables), as well as more general impressions of the manuscript's impact and clarity.
- Most journals are interested in a reviewer's opinion of the high-level scientific content, quality of the work and specific sections of the manuscript (rather than their view on clarity and copy editing).

incorrect in their facts, which sometimes happens, then a polite and constructive reply is appropriate. Authors should assume that reviewers will read and comment on any reply and should also remember that reviewers and the Associate Editor have volunteered their time to help improve the paper. From an editor's perspective, it is helpful when authors clearly outline in their reply how their revisions relate to the Associate Editor's and the reviewers' comments. Good tactics include

going through the comments line-by-line with responses and, where appropriate, including the modified text, or grouping similar comments, under one heading. Uploading a version of the manuscript showing tracked changes in addition to the clean copy can also assist the editor in evaluating the revision.

Once the revised manuscript is received, the editors examine it to determine if the scientific and editorial concerns have been adequately addressed. Ideally, the paper will then be acceptable for publication. However, if major revisions were required, the manuscript might be sent back to one or more of the original reviewers. New reviewers can also be recruited. Further revision may be necessary, but, in most cases, journals are unwilling to invite a series of major revisions on a manuscript. A paper can be rejected at this stage as well. Authors should be aware that an invitation to revise a paper does not constitute a guarantee of publication. Some journals offer an article transfer service that can facilitate submission of a manuscript to another, possibly more appropriate, journal either before or after review.

FINAL EDITORIAL ADVICE

To all authors: Please target your manuscript to the appropriate journal and take care to polish the text, references and figures to a high gloss before submission. Reviewers need to assess the science content, not fix rough manuscripts. Reviewers and editors respect a well-prepared manuscript because they can fairly evaluate the science. A manuscript that is not well prepared may not receive the opportunity it deserves even if the quality of the underlying science is sound. In the end, we all want to read and produce significant science papers that are well-prepared and that we learn something from!